falling objects, earthquake forces, malicious mischief, and vandalism coverd by the TIE POLICY, and Alice Graham was sued by Carol Kay with respect to operations of the CONDOMINIUM CMOPLEX. The SMOLKERS made a timely claim for property damage covered by the TIE POLICY and tendered defense of the liability lawsuit to TIE.

139. In breach of the TIE POLICY, TIE denied the existence of the Medical Pay provision of the TIE POLICY, TIE denied the existence of the property damage provision of the TIE POLICY and TIE denied the existence of liability coverage under the TIE POLICY, and TIE refused to pay medical benefits owing to the SMOLKERS, refused to pay for repair of property damage and refused to defend Alice Smolker in the suit brought by Carol Kay..

140. As a direct and proximate result of TIE's breach of contract the SMOLKERS were not promptly paid insurance benefits owing; the SMOLKERS had to live with the property damage and contamination of the common areas that TIE should have paid to have cleaned up, the SMOLKERS had to provide their own defense to the action brought by Carol Kay against Alice Graham, and the SMOLKERS had to sue TIE in order to obtain benefits owing. The SMOLKERS have been damaged in a sum to be proved at trial in the amount of medical expenses already incurred and in the additional amount of future medical treatments ascertained or unascertained which will be necessary to treat the bodily injury suffered by Gary Smolker, Alice Smolker, Leah Smolker and Judi Smolker, which have not yet been paid by TIE. TIE has been unjustly enriched by the amount of medical expenses TIE should have promptly paid and did not pay promptly, the amount TIE should have paid to repair damage to the common areas, and TIE will be unjustly enriched in the future by an additional sum equal to the amount of future medical expenses to be incurred by the SMOLKERS that TIE should pay but refuses to pay. The amount of unjust enrichment, defense costs, and cost to repair or replace damaged property will be proved at time of trial.

141. The SMOLKERS have suffered emotional damages, injury to their health, loss of earnings and loss of earning capacity as a direct and proximate and foreseeable result of TIE's breach of contract in an amount to be proved at time of trial.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING AGAINST TIE

142. The SMOLKERS incorporate herein by reference as though fully set forth herein Paragraphs 135 through 141 of this cross-complaint with the same force and effect as though said paragraphs were set forth fully at this point.

143. Implied in the TIE POLICY are covenants by TIE that TIE would act in good faith and deal fairly, only engage in fair practices in dealing with the SMOLKERS and would treat the SMOLKERS with decency and humanity and not abuse its discretionary power and authority when processing the SMOLKERS' claim for medical benefits, property damage and provision of a defense to a liability lawsuit, atnd would do nothing to interfere with the SMOLKERS' rights to receive medical payment benefits, or any other benefits under the TIE POLICY.

144. At all times since receipt of notice of the contamination problem in the SMOLKERS home, TIE has known the SMOLKERS were entitled to medical payments under the TIE POLICY and that TIE had a duty to disclose benefits owing under the TIE POLICY to the SMOLKERS. But, TIE refused to disclose benefits owing to the SMOLKERS, and without reasonable cause denied the SMOLKERS' claims. Upon receipt of the SMOLKERS' claim for payment of medical expenses, for property damgage and tender of defense TIE knew that the SMOLKERS were entitled to payment of medical expenses, a defense of the Carol Kay lawsuit, and repair and clean up of the pesticide contamination in the common areas and repair of equake damage and wind damage and explosiion damage and falling objects damage, and wrongfully, deliberately and without just cause refused to pay any of the SMOLKERS' medical expenses, and refused to offer a defense to the Carol Kay lawsuit, and refused to repair any property damage and forced the SMOLKERS to sue TIE in order to obtain medical payment benefits owing to the SMOLKERS, defendse owing to Alice Graham and repair of property damage, in breach of TIE's covenant of good faith. In further breach of TIE's covenant of good faith, TIE has informed the

SMOLKERS that the TIE would promptly take care of the problem in the SMOLKERS' home and take care of it, and demanded that the SMOLKERS not alter the condition of the SMOLKERS' home until TIE was done inspecting and testing the SMOLKERS' home, when TIE had no intention to promptly investigate the contamination problem in the SMOLKERS' home or to take care of it. Although repeatedly invited to do so, TIE never inspected or tested the SMOLKERS' home. TIE forced the SMOLKERS to bring and prosecute a lawsuit to receive any payment for medical expenses, etc. from TIE.

145. As a direct and proximate result of TIE's bad faith conduct, and breach of fiduciary duties, the SMOLKERS have suffered compensable losses, including benefits withheld, physical injury, property damage, additional medical expenses, loss of strength, loss of earning capacity and earnings, embarrassment and humiliation, anxiety and frustration, and severe mental and emotional distress and discomfort, all to the SMOLKERS damage in amounts not fully ascertainable but within the jurisdiction of this court in an amount to be proved at the time of trial.

146. Cross-defendant TIE's conduct described herein was done willfully with a conscious disregard of the SMOLKERS' rights and with intent to vex, cause unjust hardship to, injure and annoy the SMOLKERS, such as to constitute oppression, fraud and malice under California Civil Code Section 3294 entitling the SMOLKERS, and each of them, to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish and set an example of cross-defendant TIE by means of punishment.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT AGAINST TIG

- 147. The SMOLKERS incorporate herin by reference as through fully set forth herein Paragraph 120 of this cross-complaint with the same force as if fully set forth at this point.
- 148. While the TIG policies described above were in effect, Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker incurred medical, x-ray, surgical, dental and hospital expenses for bodily injuries,

sustained while in their insured automobiles as a result of an accident, and property damage to their automobiles, covered by the TIG auto policy and made a timely claim for medical benefits owing to Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker under the TIG auto policy to TIG, and for proerpty damgae to their automobile, and damage to their personal property covered by their homeowners policy and tendered defense of the Carol Kay lawsuit to TIG.

149. In breach of the TIG policies, TIG denied the existence of the Medical Pay provision of the TIG auto policy and the property damage coverage of the homeowner policy, the earthqualke damage coverage of the earthquake policy and the liability coverage under the homeowner and umbrella policies issued to the SMOLKKERS by TIG. TIG refused to pay medical benefits owing to the SMOLKERS.

150. As a direct and proximate result of TIG's breach of contract the SMOLKERS were not promptly paid insurance benefits owing, the SMOLKERS had to sue TIG in order to obtain benefits owing; and, the SMOLKERS have been damaged in a sum to be proved at trial in the amount of medical expenses already incurred and in the additional amount of future medical treatments ascertained or unascertained which will be necessary to treat the bodily injury suffered by Gary Smolker, Alice Smolker, Leah Smolker and Judi Smolker, which have not yet been paid by TIG, and the SMOLKERS suffered physical injury to their bodies and property. TIG has been unjustly enriched by the amount of medical expenses TIG should have promptly paid and did not pay promptly, the amount TIG should have paid for the SMOLKERS to move out and live somewhere else, the amount TIG should have paid to replace or repair the damaged property insured under the TIG policies and sturctural enigneering fees and defense costs for defendaing the lawsuit brought by Carol Kay against Alice Grahm. TIG will be unjustly enriched in the future by an additional sum equal to the amount of future medical expenses to be incurred by the SMOLKERS that TIG should pay but refuses to pay. The amount of unjust enrichment will be proved at time of trial.

151. The SMOLKERS have suffered bodily injures, loss of strength, emotional damages, and loss of earnings and earning capacity as a direct and proximate and foreseeable result of TIG's breach of contract in an amount to be proved at time of trial.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING AGAINST CAINCO

152. The SMOLKERS incorporate herein by reference as though fully set forth herein Paragraphs 147 through 151 of this cross-complaint with the same force and effect as though said paragraphs were set forth fully at this point.

153. Implied in the TIG policies are covenants by TIG that TIG would act in good faith and deal fairly, only engage in fair practices in dealing with the SMOLKERS and would treat the SMOLKERS with decency and humanity and not abuse its discretionary power and authority when processing the SMOLKERS' claim for medical benefits, property damage, and relocation expenses, and would do nothing to interfere with the SMOLKERS' rights to benefits under the TIG policies...

154. At all times since receipt of notice of the contamination problem in the SMOLKERS home, TIG has known the SMOLKERS were entitled to medical payments, relocation costs, replacement of contaminated furnishings and defense of the Carol Kay lawsuit under the TIG policies issued to the SMOLKERS and that TIG had a duty to disclose benefits owing under the TIG policies to the SMOLKERS and to promply and prudently investigate the SMOLKERS' claims. But, TIG refused to and rfused to acknolwedge benefits owing to the SMOLKERS.. Upon receipt of the SMOLKERS' claim for payment of medical expenses, TIG knew that the SMOLKERS were entitled to payment of medical expenses and wrongfully, deliberately and without just cause refused to pay any of the SMOLKERS' medical expenses, and refused to pay for replacement of the SMOLKERS contaminated property and relocation living expenses nad structural engineering expenses and forced the SMOLKERS to sue TIG in order to obtain

medical payment and other benefits owing to the SMOLKERS, in breach of TIG's covenant of
good faith. In further breach of TIG's covenant of good faith, TIG had informed the
SMOLKERS that the TIG would promptly investigate the problem in the SMOLKERS' home
and take care of it, but instead unreasonably delayed investigating the SMOLKERS' claim, sent
out people who were not qualified to investigate the SMOLKERS' claim and denied the
SMOLKERS' claim. and prosecute a lawsuit to receive any payment for benefits owing to the
SMOKERS from TIG.

155. As a direct and proximate result of TIG's bad faith conduct, and breach of fiduciary duties, the SMOLKERS have suffered compensable losses, including benefits withheld, physical injury, property damage, additional medical expenses, loss of strength, loss of earning capacity and earnings, embarrassment and humiliation, anxiety and frustration, and severe mental and emotional distress and discomfort, all to the SMOLKERS damage in amounts not fully ascertainable but within the jurisdiction of this court in an amount to be proved at the time of trial.

156. Cross-defendant TIG's conduct described herein was done willfully with a conscious disregard of the SMOLKERS' rights and with intent to vex, cause unjust hardship to, injure and annoy the SMOLKERS, such as to constitute oppression, fraud and malice under California Civil Code Section 3294 entitling the SMOLKERS, and each of them, to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish and set an example of cross-defendant TIG by means of punishment.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

TWENTY-EIGHT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT AGAINST FRONTIER

157. FRONTIER knows what the contract is, what its breach is and what damages are being claimed by the SMOLKERS. The court's page limit does not allow setting forth allegations concerning this cause of action. The court's time limit did not allow enought time to plead this cause of action in any further detail or to edit this fifth amended cross-complaint.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

TWENTY-NINETH CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT AGAINST RELIANCE

158. RELIANCE knows what the contract is, what its breach is and what damages are being claimed by the SMOLKERS. The court's page limit does not allow setting forth allegations concerning this cause of action. The court's time limit did not allow enought time to plead this cause of action in any further detail or to edit this fifth amended cross-complaint.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

THIRTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR FRAUD AGAINST MORRIS, HOME SAVING, GRACE, GRACE DAVISON, TIE, FIG, COREGIS, CAINCO, CIC, TIG

159. The cross-defendants named in this cause of action know what the fraud is, what its and what damages are being claimed by the SMOLKERS. The court's page limit does not allow setting forth allegations concerning this cause of action. The court's time limit did not allow enought time to plead this cause of action in any further detail or to edit this fifth amended cross-complaint.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

THIRTY FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR INTERFERENCE AGAINST MORRIS, HOME SAVING, CAINCO, COREGIS, CIC, TIE, FIG, GRACE AND GRACE DAVISON

160. The cross-defendants named in this cause of action know what the interfence is, and what damages are being claimed by the SMOLKERS. The court's page limit does not allow setting forth allegations concerning this cause of action. The court's time limit did not allow

-68-

8

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25 26

> 27 28

enought time to plead this cause of action in any further detail or to edit this fifth amended crosscomplaint.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants Gary Smolker and Alice Smolker pray judgment as hereinafter set forth.

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- For general damages and special damages according to proof in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of the above entitled court.
- For damages for past and future medical and dental related expenses according to proof at time of trial.
- 3. For damages to personal property and to real property, and for loss of use of property according to proof at time of trial.
- 4. For exemplary damages and punitive damages in an amount the court deems just and reasonable.
 - 5. Prejudgment interest as allowed by law.
 - 6. Costs of suit herein.
 - 7. Such other and further relief which the court deems just and proper.
- 8. For an order directing the owners of each condominium in the CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX to pay a pro-rata contribute share of the costs incurred by cross-complainants and a prorata contributive share of the reasonable value of cross-complainants services in prosecuting actions seeking order directing the manner and method to be followed to abate the nuisance(s) and dangerous conditions existing at the CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX, to stop waste from continuing at the CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX, and for recovery of costs necessary for repair or replacement of contaminated property from HOME SAVING, GRACE, GRACE DAVISON, TIE, MORRIS, and HOME SAVING, and that a lien be impressed on each owner's interest in the common areas to secure the payment of each owner's share and that such lien be foreclosed by

9. For an order directing each owner, GRACE, GRACE DAVISON, HOME SAVING, MORRIS, and TIE to do whatever the court deems necessary to abate the nuisance and illegal condition of having an unregistered poison, termite infestations and termite damages in the

Dated: August 12, 1999.

common areas..

the court.

Law Offices of Smolker & Graham

Gary S. Smolker

Attorneys for Cross-complainants Gary and Alice Smolker

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Suite 250, Marina del Rey, California 90292.

On August 12, 1999, I served the attached document described as follows:

FIFTH AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT

on the interested parties in this action by facsimile for the fax number(s) listed, and by causing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s), addressed as follows to be placed in the U.S. Mail at Los Angeles, California:

See Attached Service List

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, correspondence placed in envelopes is deposited in the U.S. postal service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I know the envelope was sealed and, with postage prepaid, placed for collection and mailing on this date, following ordinary business practice, in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 12, 1999 at Marina del Rey, California.

JANE Y. NAGAISHI

West

DeclServ

	LINS, SCHECHTER & FEINSTEIN REW S. HOLLINS, #80194	ORIGINAL FILED
2 THO	MAS M. CONDAS, #120	JUL 02 1998
3 505	MAS M. GOMAS	LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
6 an	torneys for cross-defendant d cross-complainant, PACIFIC LLAS HOMEOWNERS, ASSOCIATION	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY	of los angeles VIA FAX
10)	CASE NO: BC 173952
11 3	rig insurance company, a) California Corporation,)	Honorable Dzintra Janavs, Judge
12	Plaintiff,	Department 15
13)	CROSS-COMPLAINT OF PACIFIC
14	GARY SMOLKER, an individual,	VILLAS HOMEOWNERS, ASSOCIATION
15	and ALICE SMOLKER, an individual, and DOES 1-10.	FOR: 1. EQUITABLE INDEMNITY; 2. COMPARATIVE INDEMNITY;
16	individual, and bear) inclusive,	TOTAL INDEMNITY;
17	Defendants.)	4. DECLARATORY RELIEF; 5. STRICT LIABILITY 6. STRICT LIABILITY 7. STRICT LIABILITY OF FITNESS;
18	GARY SMOLKER and ALICE	6. IMPLIED WARRANTI OF TITTED TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT
800 S I	SMOLKER,	8. NUISANCE; AND 9. BREACH OF CONTRACT
19	Cross-Complainants,)
20	ν.)
21	TERMITE CONTINGS) TRIAL DATE: NONE
22	INC.; W.F. MORRIS; RIM	
23	GRACE DAVISON; ALBERT C.	
24	MATTHEW JOHN FREDERICKS,	
2	TRUST; CAROL D. KAY REVOCABLE))
. 2	I Dith I I VORI: Dith	72)
2	GERALD W. 12 VERDUN; PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION; TRUC	
3	28	

```
INSURANCE EXCHANGE; TRUCK
  UNDERWRITERS ASSOCIATION;
  FARMERS GROUP, INC.; FARMERS
  INSURANCE GROUP OF COMPANIES;
2
  TIG INSURANCE COMPANY; CUMMINS)
   & WHITE, LLP; LARRY M. ARNOLD; )
   LAURA N. MACHPHERSON; COREGIS
   GROUP, INC.; COREGIS INSURANCE)
   COMPANY; JOSEPH FEDORUK; DEAN )
    & ASSOCIATES; DENNIS A
  BABBITS; CALIFORNIA INSURANCE
    COMPANY; RELIANCE INSURANCE
    COMPANY; FRONTIER PACIFIC
    INSURANCE COMPANY, and ROES 1
    100, INCLUSIVE,
          Cross-Defendants.
 10
     CAROL D. KAY,
           Cross-Complainant,
 11
 12
      HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL,
  13
      INC., W.R. GRACE & CO.; GRACE
      DAVISON; PACIFIC VILLAS
      HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION; ALICE
      SMOLKER; AND ROES 1-50,
      Inclusive,
   16
            Cross-Defendants.
   17
       PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNERS
       ASSOCIATION,
   19
             Cross-Complainant,
    20
             ν.
        HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL,
    21
        INC., W.F. MORRIS; RIKK
        THOMPSON; and DOES 1 through
    22
        100, inclusive,
    23
              Cross-Defendants.
     24
         AND ALL OTHER RELATED CROSS-
     25
         ACTIONS.
     26
     27
```

Cross-complainant, PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, for causes of action against the cross-defendants, and each of them, alleges as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- Cross-complainant, PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, (hereinafter referred to as PACIFIC VILLAS), was at all times mentioned herein, located in the County of Los Angeles, in the state of California. PACIFIC VILLAS was and is an association as defined in California Civil Code, section 1351(a), established to manage a certain condominium project as defined in California Civil Code, section 1351(f). As such PACIFIC VILLAS brings this action not only on its individual capacity, but also pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure, section 383 as the real party in interest, without joining with its members, in matters pertaining to enforcement of the governing documents, for damage to the common areas, for damage to the separate interests 15 which PACIFIC VILLAS is obligated to maintain or repair, and for damages to the separate interests which arise out of, or are 77 integrally related to, damages to the common areas of separate interests that PACIFIC VILLAS is obligated to maintain or repair
 - 2. The names and capacities of cross-defendants, DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown at this time, and cross-complainant therefore sues said cross-defendants by such fictitious names. Cross-complainant will ask leave of the Court to amend its cross-complaint to show the true names and capacities of said cross-defendants when the same have been ascertained.

28

21

23

1

3

4

5

- 3. Cross-complainant is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief, alleges that each of said crossdefendants designated herein as a DOE was in some manner negligently or actively responsible for the loss and damages sustained by the cross-complainant herein as hereafter provided, and each of the cross-defendants were, and were at all times, 7 mentioned in plaintiff's complaint the agents, servant and employee of the remaining cross-defendants, herein, and was at all times mentioned in plaintiff's complaint, acting within the course and scope of said agency, service and employment.
 - 4. Cross-defendants, HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, were corporations, businesses, partnerships, associations or individuals doing business or residing within the state of California.
 - The cross-complaint of GARY and ALICE SMOLKER in the underlying action alleges damages against this cross-complainant as alleged therein. The SMOLKERS' cross-complaint is referred to and incorporated herein by reference as though set forth at length at this place for reference purposes only,
 - Cross-complainant has answered the cross-complaint in the underlying action and has denied, and continues to deny the allegations contained therein.
 - Cross-complainant, PACIFIC VILLAS, is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that cross-defendants, HOME SAVING: TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON and DOES 1 throug 100, inclusive, equally or partially caused or contributed to th

4

6

12

16

17 1

19

21

23

injuries or damages to the SMOLKERS. That, based upon the conduct by the cross-defendants, and each of them, are thereby obligated to indemnify cross-complainant. Cross-defendants, HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, have refused, and continue to refuse, such indemnity.

If it be found that cross-complainant was negligent or breached any duty of the SMOLKERS which cross-complainant has denied and continues to deny, then cross-complainant alleges that its negligence or other duty, if any, was vicarious, passive and secondary, and that the negligence or other breach of duty or responsibility of cross-defendants, and each of them, for the incident alleged in plaintiff's complaint, was active, primary and affirmative; by reason of the foregoing, cross-complainant herein will be thereby entitled to indemnification by the crossdefendants, and each of them, for any and all amounts which may 17 | in good faith be paid by way of compromise, settlement or judgment, and further will be entitled to costs and expenses in connection therewith; this cross-complainant has not yet 18 ascertained the full amount of said damages, and will ask leave 19 of the Court to amend this plea when the same have been 21 ascertained.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS

AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through eight of the First Cause of Action, and by this reference,

28

23

25

26

incorporates the same herein with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.

- 10. That cross-complainant is not singularly, uniquely, or totally liable for any damages incurred by the SMOLKERS in the aforesaid incident. That if cross-complainant is neld liable in the underlying litigation, which liability is specifically denied, cross-complainant is either not responsible in any denied, cross-complainant is either not responsible in any fashion, or is only partially responsible for the alleged injuries and damages suffered or sustained by the SMOLKERS. That the distribution of responsibility should be apportioned among the cross-complainant and cross-defendants, and each of them, on the basis of their proportionate share.
 - the cross-complainant and cross-defendants, and each of them, regarding the rights, duties and liabilities of each party with regard to the alleged incident giving rise to this lawsuit.

 Cross-complainant contends that if, despite its denial of the allegations of the SMOLKERS' cross-complaint herein, the SMOLKERS should nevertheless recover judgment against this cross-complainant, judgment will be based upon the findings of wrongdoing as hereinabove set forth on the part of the cross-defendants, and each of them, and cross-complainant should be entitled to indemnification in an amount proportionate to the comparative responsibility and degree of fault on each of said cross-defendants.

26 ///

27 ///

1

2

3

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS
AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 12. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through eight of the First Cause of Action, and by this reference, incorporates the same herein with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.
 - 13. Cross-complainant, by law and equity should be entitled to total indemnification against cross-defendants for any judgment adverse to this cross-complainant.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS
AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 14. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through eight of the first cause of action, and by this reference, incorporates the same herein with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.
- 15. Cross-complainant may have to bear a loss which, in equity and good conscience, they should not be faced with by virtue of the cenduct of the cross-defendants, and each of them, if the allegations of the SMOLKERS' complaint and the allegations of cross-complainant's cross-complaint for indemnity are found to be true.
 - 16. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy exists between the cross-complainant herein and the cross-defendants, and each of them, concerning their respective rights, duties an obligations of the cross-defendants, and each of them, to wholl

or partially indemnify cross-complainant for any verdict or judgment rendered against them. This cross-complainant requests a declaration of rights and duties of the cross-defendants, and each of them, to this cross-complainant.

17. Insofar as the conduct of the cross-defendants herein, and each of them, is determined to have been a proximate cause of the injuries and damages alleged by the SMOLKERS, if any exist, then this cross-complainant is entitled to a declaration that it be indemnified by cross-defendants, and each of them, in an amount equal to that amount of which their conduct or fault contributed to plaintiffs' injuries or damages, if any, and in an amount which is proportionate to each of the cross-defendants' 11 respective allocable fault.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL,

W.F. MORRIS AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 18. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through seventeen, and by this reference, incorporates the same herein . with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.
- 19. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that cross-defendants, and each of them, are and at all times mentioned herein engaged in the business as a licensed contractor licensed as a pest control operator in and for the State of California.
 - 20. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in or about October 1996 cross-defendants,

28

26

5

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

21

and each of them agreed to sell, apply, and install pesticides and/or pest control systems upon the residential units and common areas of Pacific Villas and in fact sold, implied and installed said pesticides and/or pest control systems, for which cross-said pesticides and/or pest control systems, for which cross-complainant paid cross-defendants the approximate sum of \$7,952.

- 21. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the pesticides and/or pest control system and/or the application and installation of the pesticides and/or pest control system by cross-defendants, and each of them, were defective.
 - 22. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that as a proximate and direct result of the defects in the pesticides and/or pest control system, and/or the application or installation thereof, cross-complainants will be application or installation thereof, cross-complainants will be required to incur expenses to evaluate, correct, repair, replace and reconstruct defective and injured improvements in and about the common areas, together with a loss of use thereof, to its damage in the sum to be stated according to proof.
 - 23. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that as a further proximate and direct result of the defects and injuries as aforesaid, the property values as Pacific Villas have diminished and that a stigma has attached said to property, all to the detriment of cross-complainants in an amount according to proof.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

2

3

6

10

11

12

15

19

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 24. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through twenty-three, and by this reference, incorporates the same herein with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.
- 25. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at all relevant times cross-defendants, and each of them, knew or should have known that cross-complainant would rely upon cross-defendants and each of them and upon their skill and judgment in the selection, application and installation of pesticides and/or pest control systems and to provide components and materials fit for their particular purpose without injuring the property and/or residence of Pacific Villas, and cross-complainant did so rely upon cross-defendants skill and judgment.
 - 26. Cross-defendant and each of them, impliedly warranted that the pesticides and/or pest control system would be fit for the purposes for which they are normally and reasonably used, an without creating an unreasonable risk of harm to cross-complainant and/or its members.
 - 27. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the pesticides and/or pest control system sold, applied and installed by cross-defendants, and each of them, are, and at all relevant times have been, materially defective aforesaid.

23

1

2

3

4

7

8

28. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based upon thereon alleges that as a proximate and direct result of such breach of the implied warranty of fitness for the particular purpose for which said pesticides and/or pesticide control systems is normally and reasonably used, cross-complainant has sustained and will sustain damages as alleged in paragraphs 22 and 23 above.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 29. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through twenty-eight, and by this reference, incorporates the same herein with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.
- Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based 16 thereon alleges that all relevant times cross-defendants and each of them, were under a duty to exercise reasonable care in the selecting, selling, applying and installation of the pesticide and/or pest control systems, including the duty to comply with applicable State and Federal Regulations regarding pesticide/pest control system selection, application and installation, and the duty to provide all labor and materials in a good and workman like manner.
 - 31. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that cross-defendants, and each of them, breache their respective duties of care to cross-complainant by negligently and carelessly failing to use reasonable care in the

27

23

24

8

9

10

11

13

14

- 32. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the negligence of cross-defendants and each of them actually and proximately caused the defects, deficiencies and injuries in and about the common areas of Pacific Villas, as alleged herein above.
- 33. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the cross-defendants, and each of them, cross-complainant has sustained and will sustain damages as alleged in paragraphs 22 and 23 above.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE)

- 34. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through three-three, and by this reference, incorporates the same herein with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.
- 35. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each of the cross-defendants knew, or the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known that defects in the selection, sale, application and installation of

7

11

12

16

17

18

20

23

pesticides and/or pest control system, as aforesaid, has caused and will continue to cause, damage and injury to cross-complainant.

- thereon alleges that the failures, deficiencies and defects herein alleged, constitute a nuisance within the meaning of section 3479 of California Civil Code, in that the property values of Pacific Villas have diminished, stigma to the property has attached, and that said nuisance creates and obstruction to the free use of the property and interferes with cross-complainant's comfortable enjoyment and use of its property.
 - 37. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the cross-defendants, and each of them, by their conduct and/or failure to act, created, maintained and/or concealed a nuisance and have not taken reasonable steps to abate said nuisance, or to mitigate the potential future damage caused by said nuisance, although requested to do so.
 - 38. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that as a proximate and direct result of the nuisance caused by defendants as herein alleged, cross-complainant has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages as alleged in paragraphs 22 and 23 above.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(AS TO CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOME SAVINGS TERMITE CONTROL, W.F. MORRIS AND RIKK THOMPSON AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE

39. Cross-complainant refers to paragraphs one through thirty-eight, and by this reference, incorporates the same here?

28

12

13

15

18

23

24

26

with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this place.

- 40. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that except as excused y the wrongful conduct of cross-defendant and each of the, cross-complainant has performed all conditions and covenants required to be performed on its part.
 - 41. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that within two years of the filing of this action, cross-defendants and each of them, breached their agreement by failing to property and/or adequately select, sell, apply and install the pesticides and/or pest control system at Pacific Villas.
 - 42. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that as a direct and proximate result of the breach by cross-defendants and each of them, cross-complainant has sustained and will sustain damages as alleged in paragraphs 22 and 23 above.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainant prays for judgment against the cross-defendants, and each of them, as follows:

ON CAUSES OF ACTION ONE THROUGH FOUR, INCLUSIVE

1. If it be found that cross-complainant is liable for any judgment in favor of the plaintiff herein, that total judgment in the same amount be rendered in favor of the cross-complainant against the cross-defendants;

- 2. For an order that the cross-defendants have a duty to indemnify the cross-complainant;
- 3. That if cross-complainant is held liable to the plaintiff, then judgment be rendered against cross-defendants, and each of them, determining the respective responsibility of the cross-defendants, and each of them, for the incident;
- 4. That judgment be entered indemnifying cross-complainant for the percentage of plaintiff's judgment which is proportionate and allocable to the comparative responsibility of the cross-defendants, and each of them;
 - For interests provide by law;
 - For damages according to proof;
 - 7. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

ON CAUSES OF ACTION FIVE THROUGH NINE, INCLUSIVE

- 9. For general and compensatory damages according to the proof at the time of trial and in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdiction of this court;
 - 10. For interest provided by law:
- 11. For cost of suit incurred herein; and,

22 ///

1

3

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 1//

12. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper. HOLLINS, SCHECHTER & FEINSTEIN DATED: June 30, 1998 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant and Cross-Complainant, PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 (1013a(3) Code Civ. Proc. Revised 5/1/88) 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE 3 I am employed in the county of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 505 S. Main Street, 12th Floor, Orange, California 92856. On July 2, 1998, I served the foregoing document described 6 as CROSS-COMPLAINT OF PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION FOR 5 EQUITABLE INDEMNITY; COMPARATIVE INDEMNITY; TOTAL INDEMNITY; DECLARATORY RELIEF; STRICT LIABILITY; IMPLIED WARRANTY OF 8 FITNESS; NEGLIGENCE; NUISANCE; AND, BREACH OF CONTRACT on the interested parties in this action, 9 by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list: () by placing () the original () a true copy thereof enclosed 11 | in sealed envelopes addressed as follows: 12 () by personal delivery to: (X) by mail as follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Orange, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 18 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 19 20 Executed on July 2, 1998, at Orange, California. 21 22 GABRIELLE RUSSELL 23 24 25 26

27

```
Case Name: TIG INSURANCE V. SMOLKER
   Case No.: BC 173952
2 Our File No.: FCLD 8257
3
                             MAILING LIST
  Larry M. Arnold, Esq.
                                      Attorneys for TIG INSURANCE
   Cummins & White, LLP
                                      COMPANY
6 2424 S.E. Bristol, #300
   Newport Beach, CA 92660-0757
   (714) 852-1800
   (714) 852-8510 Fax
8
   Law Offices of Smolker & Graham Attorneys for GARY SMOLKER 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Suite 280 and ALICE SMOLKER
10 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292-69/77
   (310) 574-9880
11 (310) 574-9583 Fax
12
   Jeffrey A. Charlston, Esq.
13 Charlston, Revich & Williams LLP Attorneys for DENNIS A.
   1840 Century Park East, 3rd Floor BABBITS; COREGIS INSURANCE
14 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2104
                                      COMPANY; DEAN & ASSOCIATES:
   (310) 551-7000
                                       and CALIFORNIA INSURANCE
15 (310) 203-9321 Fax
                                        COMPANY
16
                                       Attorneys for DR. JOSEPH
   Alan Zuckerman, Esq.
17 Hagenbaugh & Murphy
                                       FEDORUK
   700 North Central Ave., #500
18 Glendale, CA 91203-1240
   (818) 240-2600
19 (818) 240-1253 Fax
20
   Joh F. Walter, Esq.
                                       Attorneys for JOSEPH A. BAILEY
21 Walter, Finestone & Richter
   11501 Wilshire Blvd., #1900
22 Los Angeles, CA 90025
23
    Jeffrey Horowitz, Esq.
                                      Attorneys for FRONTIER PACIFIC
24 Dubois, Billig, Loughlin, Contay INSURANCE COMPANY
    & Weisman
25 6404 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 850
    Los Angeles, CA 90048-5510
   (213) 653-2518
    (213) 653-0669 Fax
27
```

1	1.1011401 1. 1400//	Attorneys for TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE
2	Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 20th Floor	
- 11	San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 986-5900	
31	(415) 986-8054 Fax	
5	Total Control of the	Attorneys for HOME SAVINGS
	Prindle, Decker & Amaro	TERMITE CONTROL, INC.
	310 Golden Shore, Fourth Floor Long Beach, CA 90802-4246	
8	(562) 436-3946 (562) 495-0564	
9		
10	Cecille L. Hester, Esq. Fonda & Hilberman, Llp	Attorneys for CAROL D. KAY TRUSTEE OF CAROL D. KAY 1989
11	1925 Century Park East, #2250 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2723	TRUST
12	(310) 553-3320 (310) 553-4232 Fax	
13		- Secretarian Secretaria
14	David L. Hughes, Esq. Booth, Mitchel & Strange, LLP	Attorneys for RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY
	P.O. Box 5046 Costa Mesa, CA 92628-5046	
	(714) 641-0217 (714) 957-0411 Fax	
17	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
18	Robert N. Ridenour, Esq. Borton, Petrini & Conron	Attorneys for W.R. GRACE & COMPANY and GRACE DAVIDSON
10 - 201	707 Wilshire Blvd., 51st Floor	
19	(213) 624-2869	
20		December for TMEC 6 THE
21	Gibbs, Giden, Locher & Turner	Attorneys for JAMES & JULIA HOLLAND
22	2029 Century Park East, 34th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-3039	
23	(310) 552-3400 (310) 552-0805 Fax	
24		
25	Matthew John Fredricks 15 63rd Avenue, #6	In Pro Per
26		
	li .	•

```
Gerald W. Ivory
     15 63rd Avenue, #5
     Playa del Rey, CA 90293
     Lance J. Robbins
     15 63rd Avenue, #2
     Playa del Rey, CA 90293
  5
     Angela Jordan Verdun
     15 63rd Avenue, Unit #5
     Playa del Rey, CA 90293
     Laura N. MacPherson
  8 1
     2424 S.E. Bristol St., Suite 300
  9 Newport Beach, CA 92660
 IO
     Virginia K. Cipriano
 11 3033 Sherbrook Street., West
     Apt. 306 Montreal
 12 | Montreal Quebec, Canada H3Z 1A3
 13
     William E. Davis III, Esq.
    1925 Century Park East, Suite 500
     Los Angeles, CA 90067-2700
 15
 16
    Sally L. Schubert, Esq.
    Dean & Associates
    225 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 1190
    Pasadena, CA 91101
 18
 19
    William D. Beck, Esq.
    Johnson & Beck
    1680 N. Vine Street, Suite 100
 20
    Hollywood, CA 90028
. 21
. 22
23
24
25
26
```

. .

982(a)(15.4)

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): GARY E. YARDUMIAN, ESQ. (State Bar No. 131411) PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Long Beach, CA 90801 TELEPHONE NO.: (562) 436-3946 FAX NO.: (562) 495-0564 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Cross-Defendants	
NAME OF COURT: Superior Court of the State of California STREET ADDRESS: County of Los Angeles	
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA 90012	
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL BRANCH	g di
PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER: TIG INSURANCE COMPANY	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: GARY SMOLKER, ET AL.	
DEPOSITION SUBPOENA For Personal Appearance and Production of Documents and Things	CASE NUMBER: BC 173952

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO (name, address, and telephone number of deponent, if known):

PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE DAVIDSON LOCATED AT 101 E. LINCOLN AVENUE, #240, ANAHEIM, CA

(800) 874-0686

1. YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN PERSON TO TESTIFY AS A WITNESS in this action at the following date, time, and place:

Date: MAY 8, 2001

Address:310 GOLDEN SHORE, 4TH FLOOR Time: 1:30 P.M LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

- As a deponent who is not a natural person, you are ordered to designate one or more persons to testify on your behalf as to the matters described in item 4. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2025, subd. (d)(6).)
- b. You are ordered to produce the documents and things described in item 3.
- c. X This deposition will be recorded stenographically videotape. audiotape and by

through the instant visual display of testimony,

- This videotape deposition is intended for possible use at trial under Code of Civil Procedure section 2025(u)(4). d.
- 2. The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records are required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized by Evidence Code sections 1560(b), 1561, and 1562 will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena.
- 3. The documents and things to be produced and any testing or sampling being sought are described as follows: EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED TO THIS SUBPOENA AND THE NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

Continued on Attachment 3.

4. If the witness is a representative of a business or other entity, the matters upon which the witness is to be examined are described as follows:

Continued on Attachment 4.

- 5. IF YOU HAVE BEEN SERVED WITH THIS SUBPOENA AS A CUSTODIAN OF CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE RECORDS UNDER CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 1985.3 OR 1985.6 AND A MOTION TO QUASH OR AN OBJECTION HAS BEEN SERVED ON YOU, A COURT ORDER OR AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES, WITNESSES, AND CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE AFFECTED MUST BE OBTAINED BEFORE YOU ARE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE RECORDS.
- 6. At the deposition, you will be asked questions under oath. Questions and answers are recorded stenographically at the deposition: later they are transcribed for possible use at trial. You may read the written record and change any incorrect answers before you sign the deposition. You are entitled to receive witness fees and mileage actually traveled both ways. The money must be paid, at the option of the party giving notice of the deposition, either with service of this subpoena or at the time of the deposition.

DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY BE PUNISHED AS CONTEMPT BY THIS COURT. YOU WILL ALSO BE LIABLE FOR THE SUM OF FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND ALL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM YOUR FAILURE TO OBEY.

Date issued: APRIL 20, 2001

GARY E. YARDUMIAN, ESQ. (State Bar No. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ISSUING SUBPOENA)

ATTORNEY FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS

(Proof of service on reverse)

(TITLE)

EXHIBIT "A"

- 1. Any and all sales, supply, marketing and advertising documents from Grace Davidson for "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present.
- 2. Any and all documents submitted to the Agricultural Commission referencing "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present.
- 3. Any and all invoices, bills and or statements for the purchase, sale, distribution of "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present.
- 4. Any and all animal studies, health risk assessments, toxicological data and\or studies mentioning, referencing or referring to "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.
- 5. Any and all pesticide labels, MSDS and\or instruction materials referencing, mentioning "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.
- 6. Any and all research development, toxicology data on "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.

GARY E. YARDUMIAN, ESQ. (State Bar No. 131411) LAW OFFICES OF PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO, LLP P.O. BOX 22711 310 GOLDEN SHORE, 4TH FLOOR LONG BEACH, CA 90801-5511 3 TELEPHONE: (562) 436-3946 FAX NO. (562) 495-0564 4 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, HOME SAVING TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 CASE NO.: BC 173952 TIG INSURANCE COMPANY, a California 11 Corporation, Assigned for all purposes to Judge Fruin, 12 Department 15 Plaintiff, 13 NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND VS. REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF 14 DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST GARY SMOLKER, an individual, and ALICE KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE SMOLKER, an individual, and DOES 1-10, 15 DAVIDSON inclusive, 16 Date: May 8, 2001 Defendants. Time: 1:00 p.m. 17 Place: PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor AND ALL RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS. 18 Long Beach, CA 90801 19 TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 8, 2001, at 1:00 p.m., at the Law Offices of Prindle, Decker 21 & Amaro, located at 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor, Long Beach, California, Defendant, Cross-Defendants. 22 HOME SAVING TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON, will take the 23 deposition of the third party witness who is the "PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE" for GRACE 24 DAVIDSON. 25 The person or persons designated for the deposition by GRACE DAVIDSON should be the 26 person(s) most knowledgeable concerning the following: 28 NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF

DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE DAVIDSON

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 161-1 Filed 05/01/01 Page 36 of 58

Regarding sales, supplies, marketing and advertising for Grace Davidson for "Dri-Die," "Drione," 1 "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. 2 Regarding documents submitted to the Agricultural Commission referencing "Dri-Die," "Drione," 3 2. "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. 4 Regarding invoices, bills, and\or purchases, sales, distributions of "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out." 5 and Syloid 244. Regarding animal studies, health risk assessments, toxicological data, studies referencing or 7 referring to "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. Regarding pesticide labels, MSDs and or instruction materials referencing or mentioning "Dri-Die," 9 "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. 10 Regarding research developments and or toxicology data on "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and 11 Syloid 244. 12 This deposition will be taken before a Notary Public in and for the State of California, or other such 13 officer authorized to administer oats who may be present at said time and place. Said deposition will 14 continue from day to day, excluding Sundays and holidays, until completed. 15 PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that deponent is requested to produce at said time and 16 place of his\her respective deposition, the following documents, papers, and things for copying and 17 examination, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 2025(h)(1). The above-mentioned documents are 18 listed in the attached Exhibit "A." 19 PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO DATED: April 20, 2001 20 21 22 orneys for Cross-Defendant, HOME SAVING 23 MITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON 24 25 26 27

EXHIBIT "A" 1 Any and all sales, supply, marketing and advertising documents from Grace Davidson for 2 1. "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present. 3 Any and all documents submitted to the Agricultural Commission referencing "Dri-Die," 4 "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present. Any and all invoices, bills and or statements for the purchase, sale, distribution of "Dri-Die," 6 3. "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present. 7 Any and all animal studies, health risk assessments, toxicological data and\or studies 8 4. mentioning, referencing or referring to "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. 9 Any and all pesticide labels, MSDS and or instruction materials referencing, mentioning 10 "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. 11 Any and all research development, toxicology data on "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and 12 6. Syloid 244. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor, Long Beach, California 90802.

On April (20), 2001, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE DAVIDSON and DEPOSITION SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE on all interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Long Beach, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

(By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Long Beach, California.

(By Fax) I caused such document to be faxed at Long Beach, California, from Fax No.(562) 495-0564 to Fax No. directed to fax numbers listed below. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2005(I), I caused the machine to print a record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this declaration.

(By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s). Gary S. Smolker, Esq., LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM, 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

<u>xx</u> (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on April 20, 2001, at Long Beach, California.

M. Esther Juarez

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

3

1

2

4

GARY S. SMOLKER Gary S. Smolker, Esq.

5 Alice M. Graham, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM

6 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY

David L. Hughes, Esq. Stacie L. Brandt, Esq.

BOOTH, MITCHELL & STRANGE, LLP 701 South Parker Street, Suite 6500

PO Box 11055

Orange, CA 92856-8155 Telephone: (714) 480-8500

Fax No.: (714) 480-8533

DENNIS A. BABBITS, COREGIS GROUP, INC..

COREGIS INS. CO., DEAN & ASSOCIATES and CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANY

Jeffrey A. Charlston, Esq. Robert D. Hoffman, Esq.

Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

(310) 574-9880

(310) 574-9883 (fax)

CHARLSTON, REVICH & WILLIAMS LLP

1840 Century Park East, 3rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-2104

(310) 551-7000 (310 203-9321 fax

TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE/ UNDERWRITERS ASSOCIATION

FARMERS GROUP, INC., FARMERS INSURANCE GROUP OF COMPANIES

Sara M. Thorpe, Esq. GORDON & REES, LLP Embarcadero Center West

275 Battery Street, Twentieth Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone:

(415) 986-5900 (415) 986-8054

JoLynn M. Pollard, Esq. GORDON & REES, LLP

Fax No.:

300 South Grand Avenue, 20th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 680-4470 Fax No.:

(213) 576-5000

TIG INSURANCE COMPANY

Larry M. Arnold, Esq. Annabelle M. Harris, Esq. J. Thomas Gilbert, Esq. Laura N. MacPherson, Esq. **CUMMINS & WHITE** 2424 S.E. Bristol St., Suite 300 Newport Beach, CA 92660-0757 (949) 852-1800

W.R. GRACE & CO.; GRACE DIVISION: ALBERT COSTELLO and JAMES R. HYDE Bryan Porter, Esq. Rosemarie S. Lewis, Esq. BORTON, PETRINI & CONRON 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 5100

Los Angeles, CA 90017-3613 (213) 624-2869 (213) 489-3930 (fax).

FRONTIER PACIFIC INSURANCE CO. Jeffrey Horowitz, Esq.

HORÓWITZ, SOLOMON & PARKER 6404 Wilshire Blvd., #850 Los Angeles, CA 90048-5510 (213) 653-2518

(213) 653-0669 fax

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

3

4

5

1

2

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT/CROSS-COMPLAINANTS, JAMES HOLLAND and JULIE HOLLAND

6

GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER & TURNER 2029 Century Park East, 34th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-3039

8

7

Attention: Michael B. Geibel Telephone: (310) 552-3400 Fax No.: (310) 552-0805

10

11

ATTORNEYS FOR CAROL D. KAY, TRUSTEE OF THE CAROL D. KAY 1989 TRUST

12

FONDA, HILBERMAN & FRASER, L.L.P. 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1777 Los Angeles, CA 90067

14

13

Attention: Cecille L. Hester, Esq. Telephone: (310) 553-3320 Fax No.: (310) 553-4232

15 16

COUNSEL FOR PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION

17

MURAWSKI & GREY 11755 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025

19

20

18

Attention: David M. Grey, Esq. Telephone: (310) 477-5455 Fax No.: (310) 479-6469

21 22

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERINSURANCE EXCHANGE OF AUTOMOBILE CLUB

23

24

25

LEWIS, D'AMATO BRISBOIS & BISGARD LLP 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601

26

Attention: Richard B. Wolf, Esq. Telephone: (213) 250-1800

28

982(a)(15.3)

	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):	PON COOM OSE OHE!
_Gary E. Yardumian, Esq.	
PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO	
310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor	
Long Beach, California 90801	2
TELEPHONE NO.: (562) 436-3946 FAX NO.: (562) 495-0564	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Cross-Defendants	
NAME OF COURT: SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
STREET ADDRESS: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
MAILING ADDRESS 111 N. Hill Street	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA	
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL BRANCH	
PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER: TIG INSURANCE COMPANY	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: GARY SMOLKER, et al.	
AMENDED DEPOSITION SUBPOENA	CASE NUMBER:
For Personal Appearance	BC 173952
For Fersonal Appearance	2 AMERICAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO (name, address, and telephone number of deponent, if known):

GREG RANOCCHIA, Grace Davidson

(800) 874-0686

Located at 101 E. Lincoln Avenue, #240, Anaheim, CA

1. YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN PERSON TO TESTIFY AS A WITNESS in this action at the following time and place:

Date:5/8/01 Time: 10:00 a.m. Address: Prindle, Decker & Amaro
310 Golden Shore, 4th Fl., Long Beach, CA

- a. As a deponent who is not a natural person, you are ordered to designate one or more persons to testify on your behalf as to the matters described in item 2. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2025, subd. (d)(6).)
- b. x This deposition will be recorded stenographically

through the instant visual display of testimony,

and by

audiotape

videotape.

- c. This videotape deposition is intended for possible use at trial under Code of Civil Procedure section 2025(u)(4).
- 2. If the witness is a representative of a business or other entity, the matters upon which the witness is to be examined are as follows:

3. At the deposition, you will be asked questions under oath. Questions and answers are recorded stenographically at the deposition; later they are transcribed for possible use at trial. You may read the written record and change any incorrect answers before you sign the deposition. You are entitled to receive witness fees and mileage actually traveled both ways. The money must be paid, at the option of the party giving notice of the deposition, either with service of this subpoena or at the time of the deposition.

DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY BE PUNISHED AS CONTEMPT BY THIS COURT. YOU WILL ALSO BE LIABLE FOR THE SUM OF FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND ALL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM YOUR FAILURE TO OBEY.

Date issued: April 19, 2001

Gary E. Yardumian, Esq. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

/2 Jus

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ISSUING SUBPOENA)

Attorneys tor Cross-Defendants

(Proof of service on reverse)

DEPOSITION SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE



1 2 3 4	GARY E. YARDUMIAN, ESQ. (State Bar No. 13141 LAW OFFICES OF PRINDLE, DECKER & AMA) P.O. BOX 22711 310 GOLDEN SHORE, 4TH FLOOR LONG BEACH, CA 90801-5511 TELEPHONE: (562) 436-3946 FAX NO. (562) 495-0564	1) RO, LLP		
5	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, HOME SAVING T RIKK THOMPSON	ERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and		
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES		
10				
11	TIG INSURANCE COMPANY, a California	CASE NO.: BC 173952		
12	Corporation,	Assigned for all purposes to Judge Dzintra		
13	Plaintiff,	Janavs, Department 15		
14	VS.	NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, GREG RANOCCHIA		
15	GARY SMOLKER, an individual, and ALICE SMOLKER, an individual, and DOES 1-10,	Date: May 8, 2001		
16	inclusive,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO		
17	Defendants.	310 Golden Shore, 4 th Floor Long Beach, CA 90801		
18	AND ALL RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.))		
19)		
20		EIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
21		8, 2001, at 10:00 a.m., at the Law Offices of Prindle.		
22	Decker & Amaro, located at 310 Golden Shore, F			
23	Defendants, HOME SAVING TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON. herein will take the oral deposition of Witness, Greg Ranocchia, before a notary public authorized to			
24				
25	administer oaths in the State of California who is			
26	If said deposition is not completed on said	dates, the taking thereof will be continued from day		
27	to day, Sundays and holidays excluded, until com	ipleted.		
28	111			
	II.			

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 161-1 Filed 05/01/01 Page 43 of 58

Defendant hereby demands to be informed by Witness of the need of an interpreter and the appropriate language/dialect of the same for the deposition itself within five (5) days prior to the proceeding.

DATED: April 19, 2001

PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO

By:

Attorneys for Cross-Defendant, HOME SAVING TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and

RIKK THOMPSON

1	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.				
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) 33.				
4 5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor, Long Beach, California 90802.				
6 7 8	On April 19, 2001, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, GREG RANOCCHIA; DEPOSITION SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE on all interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof				
9	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST				
10 11 12	I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Long Beach, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.				
13	<u>xx</u> (By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Long Beach, California.				
15 16	(By Fax) I caused such document to be faxed at Long Beach, California, from Fax No.(562) 495-0564 to Fax No. directed to fax numbers listed below. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2005(I), I caused the machine to print a record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this declaration.				
17	(By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s). Gary S. Smolker, Esq., LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM, 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292				
19 20	<u>xx</u> (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.				
21	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.				
22	Executed on April 19, 2001, at Long Beach, California.				
23	Juli Xuni				
24	M. Esther luarez				
25					
26					
27					

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

3

1

2

4

5

GARY S. SMOLKER

Gary S. Smolker, Esq.

Alice M. Graham, Esq.

(310) 574-9880

(310) 551-7000 (310 203-9321 fax

Sara M. Thorpe, Esq.

Telephone:

Telephone:

Fax No.:

Fax No.:

GORDON & REES, LLP

Embarcadero Center West

San Francisco, CA 94111

Los Angeles, CA 90071

INC.,

(310) 574-9883 (fax)

4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280

Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

Jeffrey A. Charlston, Esq.

Robert D. Hoffman, Esq.

1840 Century Park East, 3rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-2104

TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE/

FARMERS GROUP, INC., FARMERS

INSURANCE GROUP OF COMPANIES

(415) 986-5900

(415) 986-8054

(213) 576-5000

(213) 680-4470

UNDERWRITERS ASSOCIATION

275 Battery Street, Twentieth Floor

300 South Grand Avenue, 20th Floor

LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM

DENNIS A. BABBITS, COREGIS GROUP,

COREGIS INS. CO., DEAN & ASSOCIATES

and CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANY

CHARLSTON, REVICH & WILLIAMS LLP

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

JoLynn M. Pollard, Esq. 21 GORDON & REES, LLP

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY

David L. Hughes, Esq. Stacie L. Brandt, Esq.

BOOTH, MITCHELL & STRANGE. LLP 701 South Parker Street, Suite 6500

PO Box 11055

Orange, CA 92856-8155 Telephone: (714) 480-8500 Fax No.: (714) 480-8533

TIG INSURANCE COMPANY

ALBERT COSTELLO and JAMES R. HYDE Bryan Porter, Esq. Rosemarie S. Lewis, Esq. BORTON, PETRINI & CONRON 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 5100 Los Angeles, CA 90017-3613 (213) 624-2869

Jeffrey Horowitz, Esq. HOROWITZ, SOLOMON & PARKER 6404 Wilshire Blvd., #850 Los Angeles, CA 90048-5510 (213) 653-2518

Larry M. Arnold, Esq. Annabelle M. Harris, Esq. J. Thomas Gilbert, Esq. Laura N. MacPherson, Esq. **CUMMINS & WHITE** 2424 S.E. Bristol St., Suite 300 Newport Beach, CA 92660-0757 (949) 852-1800 W.R. GRACE & CO.; GRACE DIVISION

FRONTIER PACIFIC INSURANCE CO.

(213) 653-0669 fax

(213) 489-3930 (fax)

28

SERVICE LIST 1 TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. 2 LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952 3 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT/CROSS-4 COMPLAINANTS, JAMES HOLLAND and JULIE HOLLAND 5 GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER & TURNER 6 2029 Century Park East, 34th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-3039 7 Attention: Michael B. Geibel 8 Telephone: (310) 552-3400 Fax No.: (310) 552-0805 9 10 ATTORNEYS FOR CAROL D. KAY. TRUSTEE OF THE CAROL D. KAY 1989 11 TRUST 12 FONDA, HILBERMAN & FRASER, L.L.P. 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1777 13 Los Angeles, CA 90067 14 Attention: Cecille L. Hester, Esq. Telephone: (310) 553-3320 15 (310) 553-4232 Fax No.: 16 COUNSEL FOR PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION 17 MURAWSKI & GREY 18 11755 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025 19 Attention: David M. Grey, Esq. 20 Telephone: (310) 477-5455 Fax No.: (310) 479-6469 21 22 ATTORNEYS FOR INTERINSURANCE **EXCHANGE OF AUTOMOBILE CLUB** 23 LEWIS, D'AMATO BRISBOIS & BISGARD 24 LLP 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 25 Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601 26

Attention: Richard B. Wolf, Esq. Telephone: (213) 250-1800

GARY E. YARDUMIAN, ESQ. (State Bar No. 131411) LAW OFFICES OF PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO, LLP P.O. BOX 22711 310 GOLDEN SHORE, 4TH FLOOR LONG BEACH, CA 90801-5511 TELEPHONE: (562) 436-3946 FAX NO. (562) 495-0564 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, HOME SAVING TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and 5 RIKK THOMPSON 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 CASE NO.: BC 173952 TIG INSURANCE COMPANY, a California 11 Corporation, Assigned for all purposes to Judge Fruin, 12 Department 15 Plaintiff, 13 NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF 14 DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST GARY SMOLKER, an individual, and ALICE KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE SMOLKER, an individual, and DOES 1-10, 15 DAVIDSON inclusive, 16 Date: May 8, 2001 Defendants. Time: 1:00 p.m. 17 Place: PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor AND ALL RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS. Long Beach, CA 90801 19 TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 8, 2001, at 1:00 p.m., at the Law Offices of Prindle, Decker 21 & Amaro, located at 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor, Long Beach, California, Defendant, Cross-Defendants 22 HOME SAVING TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS and RIKK THOMPSON, will take the 23 deposition of the third party witness who is the "PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE" for GRACE 24 DAVIDSON. 25 The person or persons designated for the deposition by GRACE DAVIDSON should be th 26 person(s) most knowledgeable concerning the following: 27 1 28

NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE DAVIDSON

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 161-1 Filed 05/01/01 Page 49 of 58

1

2

28

"Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.

Regarding sales, supplies, marketing and advertising for Grace Davidson for "Dri-Die," "Drione,"

3	2. Regarding documents submitted to the Agricultural Commission referencing "Dri-Die," "Drione,"
4	"Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.
5	3. Regarding invoices, bills, and\or purchases, sales, distributions of "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out."
6	and Syloid 244.
7	4. Regarding animal studies, health risk assessments, toxicological data, studies referencing or
8	referring to "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.
9	5. Regarding pesticide labels, MSDs and or instruction materials referencing or mentioning "Dri-Die,"
0	"Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.
1	6. Regarding research developments and\or toxicology data on "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and
2	Syloid 244.
3	This deposition will be taken before a Notary Public in and for the State of California, or other such
4	officer authorized to administer oats who may be present at said time and place. Said deposition wil
.5	continue from day to day, excluding Sundays and holidays, until completed.
16	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that deponent is requested to produce at said time and
17	place of his\her respective deposition, the following documents, papers, and things for copying and
18	examination, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 2025(h)(1). The above-mentioned documents are
19	listed in the attached Exhibit "A."
20	DATED: April 20, 2001 PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO
21	95 Y
22	By:
23	August For Cobes Defendant HOME SAVING
24	DILLE THOMPONI
25	
26	5
27	7

2

NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE DAVIDSON

EXHIBIT "A" Any and all sales, supply, marketing and advertising documents from Grace Davidson for "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present. Any and all documents submitted to the Agricultural Commission referencing "Dri-Die," 2. "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present. Any and all invoices, bills and or statements for the purchase, sale, distribution of "Dri-Die," 3. "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244 from 1990 to present. Any and all animal studies, health risk assessments, toxicological data and\or studies mentioning, referencing or referring to "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. Any and all pesticide labels, MSDS and\or instruction materials referencing, mentioning "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244. Any and all research development, toxicology data on "Dri-Die," "Drione," "Dri-Out," and Syloid 244.

PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) lam employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of Cou

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor, Long Beach, California 90802.

On April (20), 2001, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS OF THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR GRACE DAVIDSON and DEPOSITION SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE on all interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Long Beach, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

<u>xx</u> (By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Long Beach, California.

(By Fax) I caused such document to be faxed at Long Beach, California, from Fax No.(562) 495-0564 to Fax No. _ directed to fax numbers listed below. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2005(I), I caused the machine to print a record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this declaration.

(By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s). Gary S. Smolker, Esq., LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM, 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

<u>xx</u> (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on April 20, 2001, at Long Beach, California.

M. Esther Juarez

25

26

27

28

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

2 3 4 GARY S. SMOLKER Gary S. Smolker, Esq. 5 Alice M. Graham, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM 6 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 7 (310) 574-9880 (310) 574-9883 (fax) 8 DENNIS A. BABBITS.COREGIS GROUP, 9 INC., COREGIS INS. CO., DEAN & ASSOCIATES 10 and CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANY Jeffrey A. Charlston, Esq. 11 Robert D. Hoffman, Esq. CHARLSTON, REVICH & WILLIAMS LLP 12 1840 Century Park East, 3rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-2104 13 (310) 551-7000 (310 203-9321 fax 14 TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE/ 15 UNDERWRITERS ASSOCIATION FARMERS GROUP, INC., FARMERS 16 INSURANCE GROUP OF COMPANIES Sara M. Thorpe, Esq. 17 GORDON & REES, LLP Embarcadero Center West 18 275 Battery Street, Twentieth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 19 Telephone: (415) 986-5900 (415) 986-8054 Fax No.: 20 JoLynn M. Pollard, Esq. 21 GORDON & REES, LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 20th Floor 22 Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 576-5000 Telephone: 23 (213) 680-4470 Fax No.:

RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY
David L. Hughes, Esq.
Stacie L. Brandt, Esq.
BOOTH, MITCHELL & STRANGE, LLP
701 South Parker Street, Suite 6500
PO Box 11055
Orange, CA 92856-8155
Telephone: (714) 480-8500
Fax No.: (714) 480-8533

TIG INSURANCE COMPANY
Larry M. Arnold, Esq.
Annabelle M. Harris, Esq.
J. Thomas Gilbert, Esq.
Laura N. MacPherson, Esq.
CUMMINS & WHITE
2424 S.E. Bristol St., Suite 300
Newport Beach, CA 92660-0757
(949) 852-1800

W.R. GRACE & CO.: GRACE DIVISION ALBERT COSTELLO and JAMES R. HYDE Bryan Porter, Esq. Rosemarie S. Lewis, Esq. BORTON, PETRINI & CONRON 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 5100 Los Angeles, CA 90017-3613 (213) 624-2869 (213) 489-3930 (fax)

FRONTIER PACIFIC INSURANCE CO. Jeffrey Horowitz, Esq.
HOROWITZ, SOLOMON & PARKER 6404 Wilshire Blvd., #850
Los Angeles, CA 90048-5510
(213) 653-2518
(213) 653-0669 fax

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

ATTORNEYS	FOR	DEFE	NDANT	CCRC	SS-
COMPLAINAN	ITS, J	AMES	HOLL	AND	and
JULIE HOLLA	ND				

GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER & TURNER 2029 Century Park East, 34th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-3039

Attention: Michael B. Geibel Telephone: (310) 552-3400 Fax No.: (310) 552-0805

ATTORNEYS FOR CAROL D. KAY, TRUSTEE OF THE CAROL D. KAY 1989 TRUST

FONDA, HILBERMAN & FRASER, L.L.P. 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1777 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Attention: Cecille L. Hester, Esq. Telephone: (310) 553-3320 Fax No.: (310) 553-4232

COUNSEL FOR PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION

MURAWSKI & GREY 11755 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025

Attention: David M. Grey, Esq. Telephone: (310) 477-5455 Fax No.: (310) 479-6469

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERINSURANCE EXCHANGE OF AUTOMOBILE CLUB

LEWIS, D'AMATO BRISBOIS & BISGARD LLP 25 | 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601

Attention: Richard B. Wolf, Esq. Telephone: (213) 250-1800

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 161-1 Filed 05/01/01 Page 54 of 58

Defendant hereby demands to be informed by Witness of the need of an interpreter and the appropriate language/dialect of the same for the deposition itself within five (5) days prior to the proceeding.

DATED: April 19, 2001

PRINDLE, DECKER & AMARO

Attorneys for Cross-Defendant, HOME SAVINC TERMITE CONTROL, INC., W.F. MORRIS an

RIKK THOMPSON

	PROOF OF SERVICE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 310 Golden Shore, 4th Floor, Long Beach, California 90802.

On April 19, 2001, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, GREG RANOCCHIA; DEPOSITION SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE on all interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Long Beach, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

<u>xx</u> (By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Long Beach, California.

(By Fax) I caused such document to be faxed at Long Beach, California, from Fax No.(562) 495-0564 to Fax No. directed to fax numbers listed below. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2005(I), I caused the machine to print a record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this declaration.

(By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s). Gary S. Smolker, Esq., LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM, 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

<u>xx</u> (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on April 19, 2001, at Long Beach, California.

M. Hsther Marez

27

28

1

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

_	TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs.
2	LASC, Central District, Ca.
3	
4	GARY S. SMOLKER
5	Gary S. Smolker, Esq. Alice M. Graham, Esq.
6	LAW OFFICE OF SMOLKER & GRAHAM 4720 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 280
7	Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 (310) 574-9880
8	(310) 574-9883 (fax)
9	DENNIS A. BABBITS, COREGIS GROUP, INC
10	COREGIS INS. CO., DEAN & ASSOCIATES and CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANY
11	Jeffrey A. Charlston, Esq. Robert D. Hoffman, Esq.
12	CHARLSTON, REVICH & WILLIAMS LLP 1840 Century Park East, 3rd Floor
13	Los Angeles, CA 90067-2104 (310) 551-7000
14	(310 203-9321 fax
15	TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE/ UNDERWRITERS ASSOCIATION
16	FARMERS GROUP, INC., FARMERS INSURANCE GROUP OF COMPANIES
17	Sara M. Thorpe, Esq. GORDON & REES, LLP
18	Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, Twentieth Floor
19	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 986-5900
20	Fax No.: (415) 986-8054
21	JoLynn M. Pollard, Esq. GORDON & REES, LLP
22	300 South Grand Avenue, 20th Floor
23	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 576-5000 Fax No.: (213) 680-4470
24	Fax No.: (213) 680-4470
25	

RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY
David L. Hughes, Esq.
Stacie L. Brandt, Esq.
BOOTH, MITCHELL & STRANGE. LLP
701 South Parker Street, Suite 6500
PO Box 11055
Orange, CA 92856-8155
Telephone: (714) 480-8500
Fax No.: (714) 480-8533

TIG INSURANCE COMPANY
Larry M. Arnold, Esq.
Annabelle M. Harris, Esq.
J. Thomas Gilbert, Esq.
Laura N. MacPherson, Esq.
CUMMINS & WHITE
2424 S.E. Bristol St., Suite 300
Newport Beach, CA 92660-0757
(949) 852-1800

W.R. GRACE & CO.; GRACE DIVISIC ALBERT COSTELLO and JAMES R. HYD Bryan Porter, Esq.
Rosemarie S. Lewis, Esq.
BORTON, PETRINI & CONRON 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 5100
Los Angeles, CA 90017-3613 (213) 624-2869 (213) 489-3930 (fax)

FRONTIER PACIFIC INSURANCE CO. Jeffrey Horowitz, Esq. HOROWITZ, SOLOMON & PARKER 6404 Wilshire Blvd., #850 Los Angeles, CA 90048-5510 (213) 653-2518 (213) 653-0669 fax

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SERVICE LIST TIG INSURANCE COMPANY vs. GARY SMOLKER, et al. LASC, Central District, Case No. BC 173 952

ATTORNEYS	FOR	DEFE	NDANI	CCRC	SS-
COMPLAINAN					
IULIE HOLLA	ND				

GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER & TURNER 2029 Century Park East, 34th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-3039

Attention: Michael B. Geibel Telephone: (310) 552-3400 Fax No.: (310) 552-0805

ATTORNEYS FOR CAROL D. KAY, TRUSTEE OF THE CAROL D. KAY 1989 TRUST

FONDA, HILBERMAN & FRASER, L.L.P. 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1777 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Attention: Cecille L. Hester, Esq. Telephone: (310) 553-3320 Fax No.: (310) 553-4232

COUNSEL FOR PACIFIC VILLAS HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION

MURAWSKI & GREY 11755 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025

Attention: David M. Grey, Esq. Telephone: (310) 477-5455 Fax No.: (310) 479-6469

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERINSURANCE EXCHANGE OF AUTOMOBILE CLUB

LEWIS, D'AMATO BRISBOIS & BISGARD LLP 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601

Attention: Richard B. Wolf, Esq. Telephone: (213) 250-1800

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, SHERRY RUGGIERO FALLON, certify that I am not less than 18 years of age; that service of this notice and a copy of the motion was made May 1, 2001 in accordance with BR 7004 on:

Laura Davis Jones, Esquire David Carickoff, Esquire Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl et al. 919 N. Market St., Suite 1600 Post Office Box 8705 Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

Hamid R. Rafatjoo, Esquire Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, et al. 10100 Santa Monica Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90067-4100

Steven M. Yoder, Esquire The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Avenue P.O. Box 25130 Wilmington, DE 19899

Frank J. Perch, Esquire Office of United State Trustee 601 Walnut St., Room 950 West Philadelphia, PA 19106

Scott L. Baena, Esquire Member Bilzin, Sumberg, Dunn, Baena, Price & Axelrod LLP First Union Financial Center 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 2500 Miami, FL 33131 David B. Siegel W.R. Grace & Co. 7500 Grace Drive Columbia, MD 21044

Robert N. Ridenour, Esquire Borton, Petrini & Conron 707 Wilshire Blvd., 51st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-3613

James H. M. Spraygeren, Esquire James Kapp, III, Esquire Kirkland & Ellis 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

J. Douglas Bacon, Esquire Latham & Watkins Sears Tower, Suite 5800 Chicago, IL 60606

Lewis Kruger, Esquire Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982

Elihu Inselbuch, Esquire Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered 399 Park Avenue, 36th Floor New York, NY 10022

TYBOUT, REDFEARN & PELL

SHERRY RUGGIERO FALLON (#2464) 300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1100

P.O. Box 2092 Wilmington, DE 19899

(302) 658-6901